

United States Patent and Trademark Office

(L)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,235	03/28/2002	Shigeru Nagata	1163-0400P	4565	
2292	7590 08/25/2006		EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH &	DESIR, JEA	DESIR, JEAN WICEL		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
		DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/089,235	NAGATA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jean W. Désir	2622		

Advisory Action	10/089,235 NAGATA ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean W. Désir	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ctice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bei appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>9,10 and 17</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,11-16 and 18-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s).	7,
		DAVID OMETZ	1

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. For instance Applicants argue on page 3 of the REMARKS that "Although Fig. 1 of Mathias includes separate housings for the two units, in this embodiment both units are not displaced in respective directions. In other words, in the separate housing embodiment of Mathias, the DVD player is not displaced, it is permanently fixed in the housing 34. Accordingly, Mathias fails to disclose an embodiment which includes each and every claimed element". These arguments are not persuasive; the embodiment clearly teaches that the pair of units are displaced in respective directions as claimed, because in the embodiment the pair of units are rotatably mounted (see again Figs. 1, 2, and page 6 lines 20-21. Thus, contrary to the Applicants' argument, the reference (Mathias) clearly disclosed the claimed invention as a whole in a single embodiment.